

International Comparisons of Productivity - First Estimates, 2014



Coverage: **International**
Date: **18 September 2015**
Geographical Area: **UK**
Theme: **Economy**

Main points

- First estimates for 2014 suggest that output per hour in the UK was 20 percentage points below the average for the rest of the major G7 advanced economies, the widest productivity gap since comparable estimates began in 1991.
- On an output per worker basis, UK productivity was also 20 percentage points below the average for the rest of the G7 in 2014.
- Across the G7 as a whole, productivity as measured by real (inflation adjusted) output per hour and output per worker grew modestly in 2014. Output per hour in the UK grew a little more slowly, and UK output per worker grew a little faster, than the G7 average.
- Output per hour was lower in all G7 countries in 2014 than would have been the case if pre-downturn trends had continued since 2007. The UK's "productivity gap" of about 18% compares with a gap of about 7% for the rest of the G7.
- First estimates in this release have been compiled before revisions to the UK National Accounts which will be published at the end of September. These and other revisions will be reflected in revised estimates which will be published in February 2016.

About this release

This bulletin contains annual estimates of labour productivity for the G7 developed countries (Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, UK and USA) up to 2014. Labour productivity measures the amount of economic output that is produced by a unit of labour input, and is a key measure of economic performance.

Output is measured by gross domestic product (GDP). Labour input is measured in two ways – by total hours worked and by numbers of workers in employment. These two measures of labour

input can yield different results, reflecting differences in working patterns across countries and compositional movements over time, such as a shift towards part-time working.

Comparability across countries is achieved by using estimates of GDP and labour inputs from a common source (the Statistics Directorate of the OECD) as far as possible, and by converting local currency based measures of GDP using purchasing power parity (PPP) exchange rates. PPP exchange rates (usually referred to simply as PPPs) attempt to equalise the cost of a representative basket of goods and services in countries with different national currencies. An ONS article explaining the [uses and limitations of PPPs \(246.1 Kb Pdf\)](#) is available on our website.

The estimates in this release update those published on [20 February 2015](#). This release cycle reflects the publication and revision cycles of the component data series.

Interpreting these statistics

The labour productivity measures in this bulletin are presented in terms of **current prices**, suitable for cross-country comparison of levels of productivity for a single year, and **constant prices**, suitable for analysis of productivity performance over a number of years. The current price estimates in [Reference tables \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) 1 and 2 should be read horizontally, while the constant price estimates in [Reference tables \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) 3 and 4 should be read vertically¹.

Current price productivity estimates are indexed spatially to UK=100 for each year and show each country's productivity relative to that of the UK in that year. Since productivity is a key determinant of living standards, these estimates also provide an indication of living standards relative to the UK.

In interpreting these estimates users should bear in mind that PPPs provide only an approximate conversion from national currencies and may not fully reflect national differences in the composition of a representative basket of goods and services. Additionally, care should be taken in interpreting movements in current price productivity estimates over time. For example, an increase in UK productivity relative to another country could be due to UK productivity growing faster, or falling less, or due to changes in relative prices in the two countries, or some combination of these movements.

Constant price productivity estimates are indexed to a particular year. For each single country, these estimates are almost identical to national labour productivity series (minor differences from national sources are described in the Background Notes to this bulletin). The index year is set at 2007 in order to focus on movements in labour productivity over the economic downturn.

Constant price productivity estimates show the evolution of productivity for each country and for the G7 (and G7 excluding the UK) aggregates, but should not be used to compare productivity across countries at a point in time. Productivity growth can be decomposed into growth of output minus the growth of labour input, and these components can move in different directions within and across countries. This should be borne in mind in interpreting the constant price productivity estimates in this release.

More information on methodology and interpretation is available in the Background Notes to this bulletin. Additionally, the [Quality and Methodology Information paper \(123.8 Kb Pdf\)](#) for this release

provides information on the quality of the estimates in this release, as well as providing a summary of methods used in compiling the estimates.

Notes for Interpreting these statistics

1. All data are also available in hard copy at the back of the PDF version of this statistical bulletin.


Current price productivity

Current price productivity estimates allow for comparison of how much economic output, measured in common currency terms, is produced by each worker and hour worked across countries in a particular year, relative to the UK=100. Further information is available in [Reference tables \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) 1 and 2.

GDP per hour worked (Table 1)

On this basis, UK productivity in 2014 was:

- above that of Japan by 15 percentage points
- lower than that of Canada by 4 percentage points
- lower than that of Italy by 10 percentage points
- lower than that of France, Germany and the US by 32-33 percentage points, and
- lower than that of the rest of the G7 by 20 percentage points.

Figure 1: GDP per hour worked, G7 countries

Source: Office for National Statistics

Download chart

[XLS](#) [XLS format](#)

(66 Kb)

Comparing 2014 with 2013, the UK productivity shortfalls relative to Canada, Germany, France and the US all widened. UK output per hour relative to Japan to Italy was unchanged in 2014, and the UK's shortfall relative to the rest of the G7 countries as a whole widened by 3 percentage points to the largest shortfall since records began in 1991.


As noted below, UK output per hour grew only a little less than the weighted average for the rest of the G7 in 2014. It follows that most of the 3 percentage point change in relative productivity between 2013 and 2014 reflects a change in PPPs, and specifically a drop in the price of UK GDP relative to the rest of the G7.

GDP per worker (Table 2)

First estimates for 2014 show that UK output per worker was:

- above that of Japan by 12 percentage points
- below that of Canada and Germany by 5 and 9 percentage points respectively
- below that of Italy and France by 14 and 16 percentage points respectively
- below that of the US by 41 percentage points, the largest differential since this series began in 1990, and
- below that of the rest of the G7 by 20 percentage points.

Figure 2: GDP per worker, G7 countries



Source: Office for National Statistics

Download chart


[XLS](#) [XLS format](#)

(66 Kb)

Comparing 2014 with 2013, UK productivity shortfalls increased by 3 percentage points relative to France and Germany, and by 2 percentage points relative to Canada and the US. UK productivity relative to Italy was unchanged, while the UK productivity margin over Japan increased by 1 percentage point.

As illustrated in Figure 3, there are significant differences in average weekly hours worked across the G7, reflecting cultural and compositional differences between economies. Different movements in average hours across countries account for differences in the patterns of productivity shown in Figures 1 and 2. For example, the productivity gap between the UK and the US is wider in terms of output per worker than in terms of output per hour because, on average, US workers work more hours than UK workers. On the other hand, the productivity differential between the UK and Germany is wider in terms of output per hour than in terms of output per worker, as German workers work fewer hours than their UK counterparts.

Figure 3: Average weekly hours per worker, G7 countries



Source: Office for National Statistics

Notes:

1. G7 average hours is unweighted

Download chart

[XLS](#) [XLS format](#)

(66 Kb)


Generally there has been a trend across the G7 countries towards lower working hours, perhaps reflecting workers choosing more leisure time as productivity and living standards have increased over time. Figure 3 suggests that this trend has slowed or gone into reverse in a number of G7 countries, including the UK, since the economic downturn.

Constant price productivity

Constant price productivity estimates are indexed to 2007=100 and show the evolution of labour productivity for each country and group of countries over time. Further information is available in [Reference tables \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) 3 and 4. The following commentary focuses on GDP per hour worked, which, by allowing for movements in average hours worked, provides a more comprehensive measure of movements in productivity than GDP per worker.

GDP per hour worked (Table 3)

Figure 4 shows GDP per hour worked for the UK and an aggregated series for the rest of the G7, together with simple projections based on average productivity growth over 1997-2007, that is, before the global economic downturn. On this basis, the combination of strong UK productivity growth up to 2007 and weak productivity performance since 2007 implies a productivity gap of around 18% in 2014. That is, under a counterfactual scenario where UK productivity had continued to grow at its pre-downturn trend since 2007, output per hour in 2014 would have been around 18% higher than was actually the case, and average living standards would have been commensurately higher too.

Figure 4: Constant price GDP per hour worked, actuals and projections

Source: Office for National Statistics


Download chart

[XLS](#) [XLS format](#)

(66 Kb)

Average annual productivity growth between 1997 and 2007 for the rest of the G7 was lower than in the UK (around 1.8% per year, about 0.5% per year lower than average productivity growth in the UK). Since 2007, productivity growth across the rest of the G7 has been stronger. This implies a narrower productivity gap for the rest of the G7 of around 7% in 2014.

Figure 5 illustrates the difference in productivity trajectories over recent years between the main European economies on the one hand, and North America and Japan on the other hand. Output per hour fell in most countries during the downturn in 2008-09, before rebounding sharply in Japan, Canada and the US. By contrast, the recovery in productivity in Germany, France and especially Italy has been weaker.

Figure 5: Constant price GDP per hour worked, selected G7 countries

Source: Office for National Statistics

Download chart

[XLS](#) [XLS format](#)

(66 Kb)

Converting the time series in Figure 5 into productivity gaps in 2014 relative to extrapolations of pre-downturn trends (as in Figure 4) would yield gaps of around 10% for Germany and France, around 7% for the US and Japan, around 5% for Italy and around 2% for Canada. The reason why the productivity gap for Italy is much narrower than that for the UK, despite a similarly weak trajectory since the economic downturn, is that the UK productivity trajectory prior to the downturn was much stronger than Italy's.

Revisions

Historical data used in this publication are subject to revision between publications. [Reference tables \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) R1 to R4 compare the latest estimates with estimates from the previous release on 20 February 2015. Note that because Tables 1 and 2 are indexed to UK=100, revisions to the UK are zero by definition in Tables R1 and R2.

The main revisions are as follows:

- current and constant price GDP estimates for 2012 and 2013 have been revised for several countries, including the US (where the annual revision of the National Income and Product Accounts reduced GDP growth by 0.7% in 2013), Italy and Germany
- employment in Canada has been revised down from 2006, and
- average hours have been revised markedly lower in Germany, France and Italy.

We have [published](#) revisions to UK GDP in current and constant prices up to 2013. These revisions will be extended to 2014 in the Blue Book publication on 30 September 2015 and have not yet been incorporated in the OECD datasets which are the primary source of estimates in this release.

In addition, PPPs for 2014 used in this release are OECD estimates. These will be revised as part of the triennial benchmarking exercise that is currently underway.

Background notes

1. This Statistical Bulletin

We publish annual estimates of International Comparisons of Productivity twice a year. Initial estimates are published approximately nine months after the reference year, with final estimates published approximately five months later. Exact publication dates vary subject to the availability of the source datasets.

2. Quality and methodology

The United Kingdom Statistics Authority has designated these statistics as National Statistics, in accordance with the Statistics and Registration Service Act 2007 and signifying compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Designation can be broadly interpreted to mean that the statistics:

- meet identified user needs
- are well explained and readily accessible
- are produced according to sound methods, and
- are managed impartially and objectively in the public interest.

Once statistics have been designated as National Statistics it is a statutory requirement that the Code of Practice shall continue to be observed.

A [Quality and Methodology Information paper \(123.8 Kb Pdf\)](#) for this release was published on 20 July 2012, describing the intended uses of the statistics presented in this publication, their quality, and the sources and methods used to produce them. The paper also provides information on the uses and limitations of international comparisons of productivity.

For this release the base year for PPPs is 2011, which is the latest year for which the OECD PPP series have been benchmarked.

The output measure used here (GDP) differs from that used for the ONS headline measure of productivity (Gross Value Added (GVA)). In the National Accounts, GDP is valued at market prices and GVA is valued at basic prices. The principal difference is that basic prices exclude taxes and subsidies on products, such as VAT and excise duties. For further information on the relationship between GVA and GDP see Chapter 4 of the [ONS Productivity Handbook](#).

GVA is the preferred measure of output for productivity purposes. However, as the OECD does not produce output level series using basic prices over the necessary time period, and PPPs are based on market prices, GDP is used in this bulletin. Differences between growth rates of GVA and GDP are not normally significant.

Estimates of labour inputs (employment and hours worked) are taken from OECD where available, supplemented in a small number of cases by other sources. Differences between OECD estimates and national sources reflect adjustments made by OECD to achieve greater consistency between national estimates. There may also be timing differences as national sources are updated outside the OECD revision cycle.

3. Other data on productivity

We publish a quarterly [Labour Productivity statistical release](#), which provides much more detailed information regarding UK labour productivity than this ICP release. As noted above, our labour productivity estimates use GVA rather than GDP as the numerator. Our measures of employment and average hours also differ slightly from OECD measures in terms of coverage, timing and seasonal adjustment.

We publish annual [Multi-factor productivity](#) estimates for the UK, which decompose output growth into contributions due to changes in labour and capital inputs, and a residual component reflecting 'disembodied technical change'.

We also publish a range of [public sector productivity measures](#) and related articles. These measures define productivity differently from that employed in the ONS Labour Productivity and MFP estimates. Further information can be found in [Phelps \(2010\) \(252.5 Kb Pdf\)](#) and in [Gill and Kliesmentyte \(2015\)](#).

More information on the range of ONS productivity estimates can be found in the [ONS Productivity Handbook](#).

The [OECD](#) publishes its own estimates of current and constant price GDP per hour worked for member countries, the G7 and two other aggregates: the EU and OECD. The OECD current price estimates can easily be indexed to UK=100 and can then be compared with the estimates in [Reference table \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) 1. While there are some differences between the two sets of estimates, the overall picture is very similar.

The OECD constant price estimates use a different base period to the estimates in [Reference table \(149.5 Kb Excel sheet\)](#) 3 and are best compared in terms of growth rates. Again, while the two sets of growth rates are not identical, the overall picture is very similar.

Differences between the estimates in this release and the OECD productivity series can be explained by the different sources used for the component data. In particular, our estimates use employment data that are based on countries' labour force surveys, whereas the OECD estimates use the National Accounts as the main source of employment data for most countries. There can also be differences in the GDP data due to timing, as the OECD productivity estimates use Annual National Accounts whereas we use the Quarterly National Accounts for GDP data.

International estimates of productivity are also available from [Eurostat](#), and the [Conference Board](#).

4. **User engagement**

We are keen to develop a greater understanding of the use made of ICP and other productivity statistics, and organises annual user group workshops. An information note on the latest Productivity Statistics User Group workshop is available [here](#). If you would like to be kept informed of future workshops and other productivity related information please email Productivity@ons.gsi.gov.uk.

You can follow ONS on [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). This publication can be commented upon on social media using the hashtag #UKproductivity.

5. **Publication policy**

A list of the job titles of those given [pre-publication access](#) to the contents of this Statistical Bulletin is available on the website.

6. Details of the policy governing the release of new data are available by visiting www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk/assessment/code-of-practice/index.html or from the Media Relations Office email: media.relations@ons.gsi.gov.uk

These National Statistics are produced to high professional standards and released according to the arrangements approved by the UK Statistics Authority.

Copyright

© Crown copyright 2015

You may use or re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document is also available on our website at www.ons.gov.uk.

Statistical contacts

Name	Phone	Department	Email
Nicholas Chapman	+44 (0)1633 455372	Office of the Chief Economic Adviser	productivity@ons.gsi.gov.uk

Next Publication Date:

18 February 2016

Issuing Body:

Office for National Statistics

Media Contact Details:

Telephone: 0845 604 1858
(8.30am-5.30pm Weekdays)

Emergency out of hours (limited service): 07867 906553

Email:

media.relations@ons.gsi.gov.uk

International Comparisons of Productivity - First Estimates, 2014

Table 1: Current price GDP per hour worked

UK = 100

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990	113	126		123	90	100	131		
1991	112	126	130	123	91	100	131	117	119
1992	109	122	127	118	88	100	128	114	116
1993	106	118	122	117	86	100	122	111	112
1994	105	118	123	119	85	100	120	110	111
1995	104	119	124	121	86	100	119	110	111
1996	101	116	122	117	84	100	118	109	109
1997	100	117	121	116	83	100	117	108	109
1998	100	119	120	116	82	100	119	109	109
1999	102	120	123	114	83	100	121	110	111
2000	97	119	119	111	80	100	116	107	107
2001	97	120	119	112	80	100	117	107	108
2002	93	121	118	104	80	100	115	105	106
2003	93	115	119	102	79	100	115	105	105
2004	91	112	119	98	79	100	116	105	105
2005	95	114	119	97	79	100	117	106	106
2006	92	114	116	96	77	100	114	103	104
2007	94	117	119	99	79	100	116	106	106
2008	94	119	121	102	79	100	117	107	107
2009	96	121	121	104	79	100	122	109	110
2010	100	126	127	107	84	100	127	114	115
2011	100	126	128	107	83	100	126	113	114
2012	100	126	130	109	85	100	128	115	116
2013	101	128	130	110	85	100	130	116	117
2014	104	132	133	110	85	100	132	118	120

Note: This table should be read horizontally

Sources: OECD, Office for National Statistics

Table 2: Current price GDP per worker

UK = 100

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990	115	119		130	104	100	136		
1991	113	118	114	129	104	100	135	120	122
1992	112	117	115	127	100	100	134	119	121
1993	109	113	110	127	96	100	130	115	117
1994	108	111	109	127	93	100	128	114	115
1995	107	111	109	129	93	100	127	114	115
1996	104	108	107	126	92	100	126	112	113
1997	103	108	105	124	90	100	125	111	112
1998	104	109	104	126	87	100	127	112	113
1999	106	110	106	125	88	100	130	113	115
2000	102	107	101	121	86	100	126	110	111
2001	100	107	101	120	85	100	124	109	110
2002	97	107	100	112	85	100	123	108	109
2003	97	102	101	110	84	100	124	108	109
2004	96	102	101	106	85	100	125	108	109
2005	99	102	100	105	84	100	126	108	109
2006	97	102	99	104	82	100	123	107	107
2007	97	105	101	108	84	100	125	108	109
2008	99	108	103	112	84	100	127	110	111
2009	99	110	101	112	82	100	130	111	112
2010	103	114	107	115	88	100	137	116	118
2011	104	116	109	117	88	100	139	118	119
2012	104	114	108	114	89	100	139	117	119
2013	103	113	106	114	89	100	139	117	119
2014	105	116	109	114	88	100	141	119	120

Note: This table should be read horizontally

Sources: OECD, Office for National Statistics

Table 3: Constant price GDP per hour worked
2007 = 100

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990	78.7	72.2		81.6	73.3	66.3	72.3		
1991	79.4	73.4	73.1	82.5	75.5	67.6	73.4	73.4	73.8
1992	81.0	74.8	75.0	83.5	76.6	70.8	75.5	75.2	75.6
1993	82.9	76.1	76.2	87.2	79.0	74.0	76.1	76.8	77.0
1994	84.5	78.4	79.0	90.9	79.9	76.1	77.1	78.4	78.6
1995	85.4	80.6	80.8	94.0	82.0	77.2	77.8	79.9	80.0
1996	85.4	81.4	82.7	94.2	83.4	78.6	80.0	81.5	81.7
1997	87.4	83.5	85.2	95.8	85.1	79.3	81.3	83.1	83.3
1998	89.0	85.8	85.9	95.6	85.0	81.6	83.7	84.8	85.0
1999	91.2	87.6	88.9	96.2	87.0	83.7	86.3	87.2	87.5
2000	93.7	91.0	92.7	99.1	88.6	86.5	88.1	89.6	89.8
2001	94.6	91.7	94.7	99.6	90.0	87.8	90.1	91.1	91.4
2002	95.9	94.5	96.3	99.2	92.0	90.6	92.2	93.1	93.3
2003	96.2	95.3	97.1	98.9	93.7	94.1	94.4	94.8	94.9
2004	96.5	95.9	98.4	99.4	96.4	94.6	96.8	96.7	96.9
2005	99.0	97.3	98.5	99.8	97.8	96.3	98.5	98.2	98.3
2006	100.0	100.1	98.9	99.8	98.5	98.6	99.2	99.1	99.2
2007	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2008	100.1	99.3	100.1	98.8	100.0	99.5	100.5	100.1	100.2
2009	100.9	98.6	97.7	96.6	99.1	97.8	102.9	100.6	100.8
2010	102.8	100.1	99.8	98.8	102.9	99.3	105.5	103.0	103.3
2011	104.5	101.3	100.6	99.2	102.9	101.7	106.0	103.9	104.0
2012	104.4	101.5	101.6	98.9	104.0	99.7	106.2	104.1	104.5
2013	105.2	103.3	101.7	99.4	105.6	99.4	106.7	104.8	105.3
2014	107.4	103.4	101.9	98.5	105.1	99.6	107.5	105.3	105.8

Note: This table should be read vertically

Sources: OECD, Office for National Statistics

Table 4: Constant price GDP per worker
2007 = 100

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990	81.3	80.2		83.6	83.4	69.8	73.6		
1991	80.9	81.0	79.8	84.2	84.5	71.0	74.3	77.1	77.6
1992	82.5	82.6	82.4	85.4	84.3	73.0	76.4	78.8	79.2
1993	84.2	83.3	82.6	89.3	84.3	76.0	77.5	79.9	80.2
1994	86.3	85.1	85.2	92.7	85.0	78.5	78.8	81.6	81.8
1995	87.1	86.2	86.7	96.0	86.6	79.7	79.8	82.9	83.2
1996	87.8	87.2	87.7	96.7	88.4	81.1	81.7	84.5	84.8
1997	89.6	88.8	89.7	98.0	88.9	81.9	83.5	86.0	86.3
1998	91.1	90.5	90.1	98.6	87.7	84.0	86.0	87.5	87.8
1999	93.3	91.8	92.3	98.9	88.2	85.6	88.7	89.5	89.8
2000	95.7	93.1	94.6	100.9	90.4	87.7	90.1	91.4	91.6
2001	96.2	93.3	95.9	100.7	91.2	89.3	90.9	92.2	92.4
2002	96.6	93.6	96.8	99.6	92.7	90.9	92.8	93.6	93.8
2003	96.1	94.3	97.1	98.8	94.4	94.0	94.6	94.9	95.0
2004	97.6	96.8	98.3	99.2	96.5	94.4	97.1	96.9	97.1
2005	99.4	97.7	97.6	99.4	97.3	96.1	98.6	98.0	98.2
2006	100.3	99.0	99.0	99.6	98.4	98.1	99.3	99.1	99.2
2007	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2008	99.7	99.7	99.6	98.2	99.2	98.5	100.2	99.6	99.7
2009	98.7	97.9	94.2	94.3	95.2	96.3	101.1	98.1	98.3
2010	100.6	99.7	97.4	96.6	99.9	97.8	104.3	101.1	101.4
2011	102.0	101.0	98.4	96.7	99.6	98.6	105.4	101.9	102.2
2012	102.7	100.9	98.0	94.3	101.6	98.4	105.7	102.3	102.6
2013	103.2	101.5	97.4	94.7	102.6	99.0	106.2	102.8	103.1
2014	105.1	101.5	98.1	93.9	101.8	99.6	107.1	103.3	103.6

Note: This table should be read vertically

Sources: OECD, Office for National Statistics

Table R1: Revisions to current price GDP per hour worked

Index points

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990	0	0		0	0	0	0		
1991	-1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1992	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1993	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1994	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1995	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0
1996	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1998	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
1999	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
2001	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2002	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2003	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2004	-1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2005	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0
2009	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	1	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0
2011	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012	0	-1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
2013	0	1	2	1	0	0	-1	0	0

Note: Revisions show differences in index points between estimates published on 20 February 2015 and 18 September 2015

Table R2: Revisions to current price GDP per worker

Index points

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990	0	0		0	0	0	0		
1991	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1992	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1993	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1994	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1995	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0
1996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1999	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2003	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2008	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0
2013	0	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	-1	0

Note: Revisions show differences in growth rates between estimates published on 20 February 2015 and 18 September 2015

Table R3: Revisions to growth rates of constant price GDP per hour
Percentage points

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990									
1991	-0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1992	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1993	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1994	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1995	0.0	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1
1996	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1997	0.0	0.0	0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1998	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1999	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2000	-0.1	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2001	0.1	0.0	-0.5	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
2002	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2003	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2004	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2005	0.0	0.0	0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2006	0.1	0.0	-1.5	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2
2007	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2008	0.3	0.0	0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
2009	0.0	0.0	0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	-0.1	-0.1	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	-0.1	-0.4	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
2013	-0.2	1.5	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.7	-0.2	-0.2

Note: Revisions show differences in growth rates between estimates published on 20 February 2015 and 18 September 2015

Table R4: Revisions to growth rates of constant price GDP per worker
Percentage points

	Canada	France	Germany	Italy	Japan	UK	US	G7	G7 exc. UK
1990									
1991	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1992	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1993	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1994	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1995	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1996	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1997	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1998	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1999	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2001	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2003	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2004	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2005	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2006	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2007	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2008	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	-0.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
2013	-0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.7	-0.3	-0.3

Note: Revisions show differences in growth rates between estimates published on 20 February 2015 and 18 September 2015

Statistical Contact: Nicholas Chapman
Office of the Chief Economic Adviser
Telephone: +44 (0)1633 455372
Email: Productivity@ONS.gsi.gov.uk